G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 471-1

1913-14
(Irrig.)

Serial Nos. 1-15

Later references.

Sol-21-Rs 1-7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—15

Part B for Sep. 16.

SUBJECT.

Law Charges on account of
Bahadur Raghunatha Rao's Charities, Bang

To, The Municipal President & Comptr. to Govt
No. 774-5 D. 25-9-16.

Sanctions the bill for Rs 2900-10-5
for the same to Comptr. for audit, the
amount being met from the State grant
the current year for Construction & repairs of Irrig.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

G R.S. 11] 191 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Law charges,
Bangalore city municl
on account of Devaru Bahadur Chattra, Bangalore

From

The Mysore Superintendent at Mysore.

No. 1783 Dated 20.4.14 Received on 20-5-13 by transfer from the Mysore

Diary No. 16574 6906 | Enclos. 2 | Spare Copies. | Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for orders bill amounting to Rs 414-7-3 being the fees due to Mr. for defending the land acquisition officer in O.S No. 35 of 1910 on the file of the Mysore Judge - Case connected with the acquisition of land for Devaru Bahadur Chattra, Bangalore Rao

NOTES AND ORDERS.

G.O 1053-5 dy Mysore 4-10-13
2-11-1913
G.O No. 3133-4 Mysore 4-10-13
dy 28-6-12

The Compensation estimated at Rs 3397-12-0 was ordered on June 12 to be debited to the State Revenues during limits. The case having been referred to court the sub judge awarded Rs 3712 +

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—35,000—15 6 12

Serial No.

G.O No. 8482-4 & Vol 10-13)-22 12-3-14 X

an appeal has been preferred in the case. There is now a bill of the Advocate to be satisfied.

The only dept pleading an excuse for bearing any additional cost, the main point for consideration in this case is the source from which the excess cost of acquisition is to be met.

4) Next as regards the bill, the fees in civil cases are regulated by para 7(8) of G.O No. 1932-83 J 137 of 02-03 & 16.3.04. Bills received for final sanction were being usually countersigned by the Sr Judge - in this case it is not known what fee the Judge has awarded in the Decree as Advocate's fee.

5) If approved the President may be consulted (1) as regards the source (2) to observe the formality prescribed in para 6 of the order of March 1904

6) Submit for orders with draft

Tsb1 21/5/14 Dr Sec 4

Am 23/5

7) Approved Sd 23/5

8) Issue Am 25/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11-13

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revenue
~~Judl~~ File No. 73 of 1913

Serial No.	
2	~~14~~ Letter No. 12 dated the 11th June 1913 to the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council Forwards the bill for being resubmitted with the Countersignature of the presiding Judge together with information as to the source from which the Court Can be Dealt
3	~~15~~ Letter No 63 dated the 28th August 1913 from the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council. Resubmits the bill with information that the presiding Judge declines to Countersign the same in the absence of the records of the case which are now in the Chief Court, but that it is in accordance with the order prescribing the scale of fees to legal practitioners in cases defended at the Cost of Govt., and states that this is not a proper charge on Municipal funds and may be debited to Imperial funds
R	4 The case is briefly this In March 1906, Govt. granted the site of Victoria to the City Municipality and to the east of the fort. Basavangudi Road free of Cost to Diwan Bahadur Ragoonath Rao for building a new Chatram out of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12 8-10

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Rev. 2

File No. 73 of 1913.

Serial No.

amount that might be realized by sale of his old thatched and its appurtenances in Siddikatte supplemented, if need be, from his pocket to the extent of completing the building subsequently Govt. Considered it desirable to reserve that site for a public purpose and granted instead the vacant land known as "Chikkamma's Garden" to the South of the City Institute, also free of cost and observed that the cost of acquisition estimated at about Rs 3397-12-0 would be debited to Inam fund. The amount was accordingly advanced from the Inam grant for 1910-1911. The claim of the owner of that garden has not however been finally settled yet. It is being contested in the Chief Court

58-00-U

y

12 In the meantime, the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, has sent in a bill for Rs 414-7-0 for having conducted the defence on behalf of the acquisition officer in the Subordinate judge's Court. The question is, how is this charge to be adjusted. There is a technical objection about the form of the bill itself, viz that it has not been countersigned by

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—63,000—15-9-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Misc 7 — Vol — File No. 73 of 1915.

Serial No.

the Judge as required by G.O. dated the 16th March 1904

13. The Bangalore City Munsif, President who was consulted in the matter states that the item under reference is such a proper charge as Munsif, Judge and that the fees claimed by the Public Prosecutor are in accordance with the aforesaid order.

14. In these circumstances, Sir it may be consulted as to whether the bill may be admitted in the absence of the Countersignature of the presiding Judge and if not can be done whether the charge can be debited from the Suzerai grant for the Current year

1 (

2. (

Inspector 15 Consult Branches III & II

28/9/14

BK

30/9

To

16 Branch III for favor of opinion

BK

17-3/10 Sub: The rule laid down in the G.O. of 16th March 04 requiring that a bill of fee for appearance in Court should be signed by the presiding Judge.

KG. 16 23/9/1914

Judge.

W

No 149-14

Recommended - 22/10/1914

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—03.000—15 9-14

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Prosecn 47
File No 73 of 1913

Serial No.

18 The Public Prosecutor may move the Subdivisional Judge to send for the records for ~~revising~~ countersigning the bill when returning the records to the Chief Court. The countersignature seems essential under Rule 70

Br IV 2/11/14

Ex 23/10

19 Draft returning the bill to the Hon'ble President for transmission to the Public Prosecutor for the needful is submitted. After issue, Br II may be consulted about the adjustment of the charge

Prosecn 30.10.14

20 Issued Hon'ble 31/10

Br 31/10

4 | 15 21 Letter No 3862 dated the 13th Nov 1914 to the Hon'ble President returning the bill for transmission to the Public Prosecutor for the needful

22 To Branch II with reference to para 19 supra —

Br 16/11/14

Fit nus 900/14

Subd 25 The charge being incidental Br 17/11 the acquisition of land should be charged to the same head to which the cost of acquisition is debited

by 8.12.14

Br IV

Note.—Contn sheet

GPR—60,000—12 8 10

(R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 73 of 1918.

Serial No.	
3	24 Letter no 209 dated the 9th January 1915 from the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council Resubmits the Public Prosecutor's bill duly countersigned by the [illegible] Judge for Rs 110-12-0
	25 Draft sanctioning is submitted Attention is invited to the opinion of Pr. II (para 23 supra) as regards the adjustment of the Cost Answered 18/1/15 Done 19/1
	26 Draft may be issued So far as I am aware beyond taking possession of the site, nothing has been done by Diwan Bahadur his Representative and in his representation to construct the Chattram. Now that he Representative has himself is no more, it seems necessary to arrive at some understanding as to the time by which the Chattram will be completed who will be responsible for it & how the fund required will be provided. Either the Hon'ble Secretary to the President of the Bangalore City

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11 11

(R.R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Rl File No. 73 of 1913.

Serial No.

Municipal Council may be requested to place himself in communication with the representatives of the late Mr Dewan Bahadur Raghunatha Rao & submit some definite proposals in the matter for the approval of Govt. Otherwise Govt are likely to lose all hold over the land

1st Member
Dewan

21/1/15
Asst

27 The order about payment of the lawyer's fee (which must be paid from some source or other) may be issued.

28 I do not however see why the money sd be debited to the Mun. funds, which have no surplus to meet the charge. This question may be separately considered in the Mun. Deptt which as far as I can make out from the file, was not consulted at the time of passing

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11 14

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Revenue.

~~Rel~~ File No. 73 - - of 1913.

Serial No.	
	the original order 23/1/15 Asst 1911 A 25/1/ Asst
4084 27-1-15	29 Draft approved by the Dewan 30 1 15 Asst 1911
	30 Issue & send the file to the Revn Branch. That branch may also kindly obtain necessary orders on the point raised in my note of the 21st Jan A 30/1/. Asst
6 ~~20~~	31 To President Mt Cl Bangalore City - Supdt Mang Dept. Compare with } No 5993-99 3.2.15
	Sanctions the sale was sent direct from the same source to which the cost of acquisition was debited
	32. The file may now be sent to the Mang Branch for further action as directed by the Dy Secy in para 30 supra Mantris is also invited to para 28 Supra DO 64-8 4-2-15 Asst 28
	Asst 7/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-1911

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz. File No. - 73 of 1913.

Serial No.

7 ~~21~~ Letter No. 237 dated the 2nd Feb. 1915 from the President, Bangalore City Munl. Council

Requests, in continuation, that orders may be issued to the Muzrai Deptt. for placing at the disposal of the [illegible] Vice President a sum of Rs 2449-12-1, being the decree amount in excess of the Land Acqn. Officer's award, to be remitted to the Court in satisfaction of the decree in respect of certain land acquired for the Dewan Bahadur Ragoonath Rao Chatram.

33. The Public Prosecutor having recently claimed fees for having conducted the Land Acquisition Case, presumably in this very connection, his bill was sanctioned from the fund from which the cost of acquisition was met at the outset and the file sent to Muzrai Br. for further action as regards the adjustment of the amount.

34. If approved, the present letter may also be sent to Br. VII for the needful.

Initials 9.2.15

Initials 10/2

O/S

Initials 10/2

It is better to take orders in such matters further Regr.

Subd. to Regr.

Initials 11/2 20 March VII

Initials 13/2

~~Subd. for orders (order noted in the listed file)~~

Initials 9/3

NOTE—Contn sheet

R—2 000—2 11 11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

~~Nil~~ File No. 73 of 1913.

Serial No.

35 Subt for orders with reference to paras 26-30 above.

36. In para 14 of the office note in Mus. 7 & 64-10 the present First member of Council took objection to the cost of acquisition being debited to Muzrai Funds and the Comptr., who was required to point out the source to which the charge could be debited, stated that the amount should be adjusted from the ~~allotted~~ allotment for "Construction & Repairs" under Muzrai.

37. The charges relating to Lawyer's fees being incidental to the acquisition of land, Branch II has stated above (para 23) that ~~it~~ they should be debited to the same head to which the cost of acquisition is debited.

38. In addition to the amount of compensation of Rs 3397-12-0 already paid for the lands in question, the President Bangalore City Munl. Council, ~~has~~ has requested that the Muzrai Supdt may be asked to place at the disposal of the Senior Vice President a sum of Rs 2449-12-1 being the ~~decree~~ decree amount in excess of the Land Acquisn

Paper under preparation

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPL 25 000-2-11-13

(11

' G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Judl.

Judl File No. 73 of 1913.

Serial No.

Officer's award to be remitted to the Court for payment to the party.

39. It is stated in the President's letter that appeals have been preferred against the award of the subordinate judge both by the Land Acquisition Officer and the owner of the land. Under the circumstances, it is a point for consideration and orders whether the award made by the Sub-judge in excess of that made by the L. Acqn. Offr. should be satisfied before the appeal is decided and if the amount has to be paid, whether it can be met from the State Grant of the current year, in addition to the Lawyer's fees amounting to Rs 110-12-0 ordered to be met from the same source.

Mur. Jud. Reg. N° 27 1914-15

89

1/3 40. The Mur: Deptt was not consulted while passing the original order debiting the cost of acquisition of the site to the Murree State grant, nor was it consulted while sanctg the Public Prosecutor's bill for Rs 110-12-0 from the same source.

3397-12-0 for 11-12 grant
110-12-0 for 14-15 grant
3508-8-0

The total amt debited hitherto to Murree State grant is Rs 3508-8-0. The Hon'ble President now asks for Rs 2449-12-1

NOTE—Contn. sheet:

GPB- 25 000—2-12-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Press...

~~Not~~ File No. 73 of 1917

Serial No.

from Marsai funds to meet the Excess in the award made by the Subjudge of Bangalore over that made by the Mr. President.

41. The following items have already been sanctd. by Govt. from the State grant of 1914-15.—

	Rs as fs
1. Repairs of Tirupati chattram.	1917-12-0
2. Kaladi temple . . .	5000-0-0
3. Mahratta Kumbis Temple Sagar Taluk	50-0-0
4. Kolar Someswara Temple.	43-0-0
5. Hirisavagalu Kodandaramaswami Temple	57-0-8
6. Lakkur Anjaneya temple.	86-0-0
	125-0-0
7. Temporary Grant rent compelling Sett	850-0-0
8. Sangam Lakshmi narasimhaswami temple	439-2-3
9. Ganganna temple at Bellagalla	50-0-0
10. allowce to Udipi Raghavendracha	120-0-0
11 Inam overseer	360
	9091-14-11

10-2-0
·971
908.

42. This leaves only 908 Rs out of the State grant of 10,000 Rs sanctd. for 14-15. To this Expe the Public Prosecutor's fees of 110-12-0 should also be added as it has also been sanctd. from this source. Thus only 787 Rs remain for distribution to a large No. of temples whose repairs

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB 2,000—2.12.14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Press

Jud - File No. 73 of 1913.

Serial No.

are urgently to be looked to & in some cases deferred for a long time for want of funds. So the State grant of 14-15 cannot bear this Extra charge which is Rs 2449-12-1)

43. With reference to the remarks of the Dy Secy on pages 7 & 8, it is submitted that a communications chattram has been built at a cost of about 10,000 Rs and materials are also being collected to complete it. The chattram is mainly used by persons coming from the Mofussil for treatment in the Victoria Hospital and the Imilo Ophthalmic Hospital.

SG

2/3/15

44. As an appeal has been preferred by the D.A Vizier, the Court-warden moved to stay execution until the final disposal of the case. In the mean while some decision would have been arrived at as regards the meeting of charges under items 2 & 7 from Savings under other budgetted heads as it appears to have been intended by Govt. Dospl. refer to Hon'ble Trs Dr. The charge of Rs 110-12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-13

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Secret

Dail ~~File No.~~ 73 of 1913

Serial No.

for Public Prosecutor's fees be thus accepted.

ST

Lea
6.3.15

Draft letter subd

89

15/3 BL

17.3.15 417

45 Subd 51 Vs. members

lea
6.4.15

46. What about the ultimate debit. to meet it do?

47. I am inclined to think that the order may stand ~~but~~ only if an addl. grant is made in the budget for the purpose. What will the amount be?

6/4/15

48 Immediate

Put Note pointing out to what exactly the cost of acquisition is including fees and increased Compensation etc

lea
7.4.15

8
ST.

To; The Hon'y Resident, Municipal Council Bangalore city - No: 9223 & 13 4/15

77/16

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Judl File No. 471 of 1914

Serial No.	
	requests that as the land acquisition officer has appealed against the decree, the court may be moved to stay execution of the decree till the appeal is finally disposed of.
9	From the Hony President, City Munl Council, Bangalore.,
D 1531	letter no. 240 & 9-3-15
	States that the appeal was disposed of on 12th July 1915 and the parties interested in the property have now applied for the execution of the decree; and submits a bill for Rs 3078-5-5 in addition to the amount of Rs 3397-12-0 already paid; and requests that the amount may be placed at the disposal of the Senior Vice President for satisfying the Court decree -
	49. The following points are noted to facilitate the disposal of the present reference
	(1). A copy of the decision of the Chief Court in the appeal case has not been furnished -
	(2) After good deal of correspondence and discussion

seen my remarks called for
Sir

NOTE -Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

(16

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 471 of 1914.

Serial No.

on the subject, a sum of Rs 3397-12-0 paid as compensation for the lands acquired for the chattram in question was met from the State Grant for the year 1910-11. (vide Mug. 6410-(1-5)).

J

(3) The owner of the land not being satisfied with the above award of the Land Acquisition officer, an appeal was preferred to the Sub-Judge, who awarded a compensation of Rs 5-6 12/-.

(4) Against this decision an appeal was preferred to the Chief Court, as recommended by the Govt Advocate, and according to the decision of the Chief Court, the Muni President has now subd a bill for Rs 3078-5-5 in addition to the sum of Rs 3397-12-0 already paid, the total amount being Rs 6476-1-5.

U

D no 1570
2.7 471-14-10 P.U.D

[A sum of Rs 1-1-3 being the cost incurred in obtaining copy of decree in R.A. no. 342 & 377 of 1913-14 on the file of the Chief Court has to be added to the amount as stated by the Muni Presdt in his letter no. 2588 dt 18th March 1916]

(5) After payment of the compensation amount of Rs 3397-12-0, a bill for fees amounting to Rs 110-12-0 due to the Public Prosecutor was sanctd in G. O. no. 5937-9/Mul 73-13-20 & 3-2-15 and this amount was met by reappropriation from the Contingent amount of the Nungai Supdt's office for 1914-15 (vide G. O. no. 3003-4/Mug 592-14-2 & 28-6-15

VV

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB 70 000—17 12 15

(17

1521

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Jung File No. 471 of 1914.

Serial No.

(6) As the bill now subd by the President represents the entire cost of the acquisition, it is not clear whether the sum of Rs 110420 referred to above is included in or excluded from the balance now payable - If it is included, then it will be double payment. If otherwise, the bill does not represent the entire cost -

Whether fees for Public Prosr who appeared before the Subjudge &c.

(7) The source from which the cost has to be met has to be considered next - The amount already paid having been met from the State Grant of 1910-11, it is a point for consideration & orders, whether the present amount should be met from the State Grant of the current year -

as the debited the same Source under the repair

subd for orders.

50. A Sanction of Rs 3105. has already been sanctioned out of the State grant of the current year.

Repairs to Vasantamahal at Nanjungud Rs 2270
Temple at Tykal 835

88
25/7/16

ans
26/7/16

51 Copy of the chief Commr's decision said to have been obtained must have been sent. Call for it

52 The bill includes Govt Advocate fees which should be separately sanctioned on receipt of a duly certified bill from

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPL 7000 17.12.15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 471 of 1914.

Serial No.	
	him. The bill should be corrected accordingly Draft. lee 26.7.16
	3. Draft letter is sub'd for appl. 27.7.16 89 27/7/16
	appd lee 28.7.16
11	No: 364 dt 31.7.16, from the Hon'ble the Mayor of Bangalore city - Muni. Council, returning for correction (being made in Ltr. Cole) the bill for Rs 3078-5-5 being the balance of award made by the chief Court for the land acquired by Govt for Dewan Bahadur Raghunatha Row's chattram at Bangalore and for costs of the Suit.
Grp	
12	From the Hon: Presdt City Muni Council Bangalore No. 50 dt 11-8-16 - Forwards a revised bill for Rs 2900-10-5 with copies of the judgment & decree called for and states that the certified bill for Rs 178-12-3, being the fees payable to the Govt Advocate, has been separately forwarded for sanction -

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70 000—17 12 15

328 (19

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Misc. File No. 471 of 1914.

Serial No.	
	~~Subd with a draft order sanctg the bill.~~
13	From the same officer, letter no. 4 dt 11-8-16.

Submits for sanction a bill for Rs 178-12-3 on account of fees due to the Govt Advocate for conducting the official suits in R.A. Nos. 342 & 377 of 1913-14 on the file of the Chief Court. Sd. Subd with two drafts sanctioning the two bills referred to above, for approval.

SD
18/8

55. File no 64 of 1910 gives the discussions resulting in the compensation amount being debited against the Stat. grant for the year 1910-11. In the same connection a further sum of Rs 2900-10-3 (the former and a fee account of Rs 178-12-3 (the Govt. advocate) is payable. This can have also the bonus of the Mysore Dept. and the only budget head (which the cost may be debited is the Stat. grant. Two drafts sanctioning the payments are subd. for approval

Ld
19.8.16

943
21.8.16

Govt (in order)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70 000—17 12 15

G. R. S.-12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 471 of 191

Serial No.	
	56. Appd — [illegible] also [illegible]
~~#~~ 1144 23-8-16	57. Subd to Dewan Sec. 22.8.16 Approved ~~for issue~~. The drafts may issue. 20.9.16 Dewan Sec 22.9
14	Nos 772-3 dt 25.9.16, fr the Presdt of the Cibi municipal Council & Comptr to Govt, Sanctns the bills for Rs 178-12-3 & dirs the same to Comptr for audit, the charge being debited to the state grant for the current year for construction & repair of Mets Roads
15	774-5 dt 25-9-16, fr Presdt of Cibi municipal Council & Comptr Govt, Sanctns the bills for Rs 2900-0-0 & dirs the same to Comptr for audit, the amt being met from the state grant for the current year for construction & repair of Mets Roads.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(P.D. 72,000—17.12.15

D 6766

No. 1733
Encls. 2

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI SUPERINTENDENT IN MYSORE,
Dated Bangalore, 28th April.....1914

DIARY No 4993

FROM
G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
THE MUZRAI SUPERINTENDENT
CENTRAL MYSORE.

To
K.R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esquire, M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to Government Order No.1053-5/Muz.64-10-3 dated the 2nd November 1910 sanctioning the payment of a sum of Rs.3397/12/- on account of compensation for land acquired for a Chattram to be built by Dewan Bahadur R. Raghunatha Rao, I have the honor to submit for the orders of Government copy of letter No.2426 dated the 30th March 1914, from the Senior Vice-President and Land acquisition Officer, Bangalore City, together with the Public Prosecutor's bill for Rs.414-7-3 received therewith.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Superintendent.

G.S.K.

From

THE MUZRAI SUPERINTENDENT
IN MYSORE.

To The Secretary to Govt of Govt & Rev. Depts.

Dated

Despatched 20-4-1914

No. 1733
Enclosures 2

Subject.

Re compensation for land for a chattram by Dewan R. Raghunatha Rao, Copy of letter No. 2426 Dy 30- from the Vice President together with the Public bill for Rs 414-7-3

GPB—1,000-7-10-12

Copy of letter No.2426 dated 30th March 1914, from the Senior Vice-President, and Land Acquisition Officer, to the Muzrai Superintendent in Mysore.

* * * * * * *

" Adverting to correspondence ending with this Office letter No.1204 dated 12-11-10 regarding the acquisition of the land granted to the Dewan Bahadur Raghunatha Rao for the construction of a Chatram, I have the honor to state that, as the owner of the land did not accept the compensation awarded by the L.A. Officer and prayed that the case might be referred to the Civil Court for adjudication, it was accordingly done. The Sub-Judge awarded a compensation of Rs.5612/- as against Rs.3397-12-0 awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer. The Government Advocate having recommended the preferring of an appeal against the award of the Sub-Judge, sanction of Government for the same was duly obtained and the Government Advocate requested on 13-3-14 to file an appeal in the case.

" A bill in original for Rs.414-7-3 received from the Public Prosecutor who conducted the original case in the Court of the Subordinate Judge on behalf of the Land Acquisition Officer, is herewith forwarded for favor of countersignature and early return, after getting it audited by the Comptroller."

Sd. B. Ramaswamaiya.
Senior Vice-President and
Land Acquisition Officer.

O/y
Muzrai Superintendent.
20/4/14

M2

2915

Acquisition by Devasthana Boards

Registered

Boarding

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 73/13

Serial No. 44

Diary No. of letter replied to 6766

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Mil)

, the 191

261/13

No. 12409

Mey 3-13

9/11/14.

To

The President

Mun! Council

Bangalore City

Date of issue 11.6.14

Signed by M.V. Ramanathan

Sir

With reference to

paper under disposal

letter No. 1733 dated 20th April 1914 from the Inspector submitting for orders a bill amounting to Rs 4-14-7-3 being the fees due to Mr. Public Prosecutor, Rangaiya for defending

over.

SUBJECT.

25—

The Land Acquisition Officer

in O.S No 35-10 on the file of

the Sub-judge of Bangalore,

I am directed to request you

to be so good as to state the

source from which the cost of

acquisition in excess of the amount

which was originally ~~agreed~~ to be debited to

the Imperial funds, can be met.

observe that the land in question was originally acquired for the extension of the city and to

Y O No 3130-6
Mem, 64-10)-1 Bg.
28th June 1912
Y

2. The bill has not been ~~passed~~

Countersigned, as usual, by the Presidency Judge &

it is therefore returned for favour of

needful action with reference

to para 6 of G O, 1932-85

2137-12-13

W

9 the 16th March 1904

I have &c

for 25/5

Asst
~~Master~~ Officiating Secy

Bangalore

List of enclosures

TS 81
21/5/14

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—1-3-13

Rel 73-13/- 1

B. M. 109]

No 63
Com 2

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
BANGALORE CITY, DATED 28th August 1914.

D 1877

FROM No. 1655



DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K. P. PUTTANNA CHETTY, ESQ.,
Honorary President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No. 12409/Ml. 73-13-14 dated the 14th June 1914 asking me to point out the source in the Municipal Budget from which the cost of the acquisition of the land granted to the late Hon'ble Dewan Bahadur Raghunatha Rao for the construction of a chattram, in excess of the amount specially sanctioned from Muzrai Funds may be met, I have the honor to state that it does not seem to be a proper charge upon the Municipal funds in as much as the acquisition of lands in this connection was sanctioned by Government without reference to the Municipality and the compensation payable therefor was ordered to be debited to the Muzrai funds. I therefore request that the excess amount may also be ordered to be now debited to the same source. The construction of chattram being a private charity, the Municipal Council does not feel called upon to contribute towards such an institution.

2. The bill of fees of the Public Prosecutor received with the above was returned to him for getting it countersigned by the

presiding

GPB—2,000—20-8-13

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

presiding Judge. He has sent it back stating that the Judge refused to countersign it on the ground that he had not the records of the case with him. It is ascertained that the entire records of the case are now in the Chief Court where an appeal filed against the Sub Judge's decision is pending. The said bill together with a copy of the Public Prosecutor's letter of the 11th instant is herewith submitted.

3. The fees claimed by the Public prosecutor are in accordance with G.O. No. 1932-85/J. 137 of 1902-03 prescribing the scale of fees payable to legal practitioners in cases defended at the cost of Government.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Honorary President.

Enclosure 73-13/8/17 16

78

Copy of letter dated the 11th August 1914 from the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, to the Vice President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore.

I have the honor to resubmit my bill in O.S. No. 35 of 1910-11 on the file of the Sub Judge, Bangalore with my letter addressed to him on the 23rd June and his remarks thereon and to request you to be so good as to verify the correctness of the figures entered in the bill from the copy of the Judgment already sent to you. As the bill is pending adjustment, from a long time, I request you to make the payment at an early date.

Sd. S. Ranganna,
Public Prosecutor.

"C O P Y".

For Honorary President.

Bangalore
23rd June
1914

From

The Public Prosecutor
Bangalore

To

The Sub-Judge
Bangalore

Sir

I have the honour to request you to be so good as to countersign the accompanying bill and kindly return the

7 1190
23/6/14

Read
H
23/6/14

Record in C.S. No. 35/10-11 was on 18-6-14 for reference sent to Chief Court in A.S. No. 342/13-14

11/7/14

I cannot certify without the record so return this with the bill

11/7/14

Same at an Earlier date

To

I have the honour

to be, Sir,

Your most obedient

Servant

Giovanna

Publia Boccella

File No. 73-13

Serial No. 18

G. R. S. 26]

Diary No. of letter replied to 1877

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Rev.)
Dated the 30. 10. 1914

Date of issue

Signed by M. A.V.R.

No. 3862 dt 8.13.11.14
dil 73-13

To

The President, Bangalore City
Municipal Council

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 13 dated the 28th August 1914 about the claim of the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, for remuneration for having conducted the defence on behalf of the Acquisition Officer in the Subordinate Judge's Court

over.

SUBJECT.

32

In D.S. No. 35 of 1910-11, I am directed to return herewith his bill for Rs 414-7-3 and to request that you will be so good as to transmit the same to him with the instruction that he may move the Sub-Judge to send for the Counter records and for the necessary Countersignature of the bill and return thereafter and then resubmit the same duly Countersigned for orders.

I have &c

From Foreign Secy
31/10/10

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—4-3-13

Ex-1-1-17-2 / A 73-13-18

No. 209
Enclosure 1

OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
Bangalore City, 9th. January 1915
12

From 165-6

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K. P. PUTTANNA CHETTY, ESQ.,
Hony. President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.



The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No. 3862/M1. 73-13-18 dated 13-11-1914, I have the honor to return herewith the Public Prosecutor's bill of fees for having defended the Land Acquisition Officer in O.S. No. 35 of 1910-11 on the file of the Sub Judge, Bangalore, duly countersigned by the presiding Judge for Rs. 110-12-0.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K. P. Puttannachetty

Honorary President.

Leg

Note

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 73-13
Serial No. 20

Diary No. of letter replied to 4402

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Jud.)

D, *the* 18. 1. 1915.

Date of issue 3.2.15

Signed by Mr A. V. Ramanathan

READ— Letter No. 1733 dated the 20th April 1914 from the Inspr Genl of Forests in Mysore submitting with reference to G.O. No. 453-5 Jud dated the 24-10-3

ORDER THEREON.— 2nd November 1910 a bill for Rs 414-7-3 received from the Land Acquisition Officer, Bangalore City, the amount being to be

ord

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

3.5

fees claimed by the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, for having defended the Land Requisition Case O.S. No. 35 of 1910-11 (Annigamma & others Vs Vice-President) on the file of the Sub-judge's Court, Bangalore.

2) Correspondence ending with letter No. 209 dated the 9th January 1915 from the President, Bangalore City Municipal Council, submitting the Public Prosecutor's aforesaid bill duly countersigned by the presiding judge for Rs 110-12-0.

Order thereon 5937-9 ml 73-13-20 9.3.15.

The bill is sanctioned for rupees one hundred and ten and annas twelve only and forwarded to the Comptroller for audit, the

Rb 73-13

(3)

the charge being met from the same source to which the cost of acquisition was debited

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

To,

The President, Bangalore City Munc. Council

& Supdt, Muzrai Dept.

Comptroller

RO
30.1.15

GPB.-100,000-29-7-14

1915 D 6921

MR 73-13-21

From The Honorary President
Municipal Council
Bangalore City

To The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 4.2.1915

Received

General No. 237 SC 7
No.

Enclosure No. -

Requesting to issue orders to the Muzari department to place the amount, of acquiring the land granted to the late Honble Dewan Bahadur Raghunatha Rao for the construction of a chatram, at the disposal of the Senior Vice President.

D 4921/Inl 13-13-21

No. 237 OFFICE OF THE MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
Enclosure Bangalore City, 2nd February 1915.

1657

1657
DIARY No. ~~12424~~

19/4/1915
SECTION Genl.
FILE No. Inl 13-13
SERIAL No. 21.

From

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K. P. BUTTANNA CHETTY, ESQ
Hony President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

SIR,

D 4412
Genl
2/21

In continuation of the correspondence ending with this office letter No. 209 dated 12-1-1915 regarding the source from which the cost of the acquisition of the land granted to the late Hon'ble Dewan Bahadur Raghunatha Rao for the construction of a chatram has to be met, I have the honor to state that the owner of the land obtained a decree for ₹5612/- on 22-12-1913 in the Court of the Subordinate Judge, Bangalore, on account of compensation for the land as against ₹3397-12-0 awarded by the Land Acquisition Officer. Appeals have been preferred against the above award of the Subordinate Judge both by the Land Acquisition Officer and the owner of the land, the former contesting that the amount of the award is excessive and the latter that it is inadequate, and they have not yet been decided. The owner of the land did not apply for the realization of the decree of the Subordinate Judge when it was passed. But she has now sued out an execution for the recovery of the decree amount. A notice has been received from the Subordinate Judge, Bangalore, requiring the

Vice

Vice President and is the Land Acquisition Officer in the case to appear in this Court on 28th February 1915 to show cause against the application of the claimant for the recovery of the decree amount viz, ₹ 2449-12-1, as detailed in the margin. I therefore request that orders may kindly be issued to the Mazrai Department to which the charge is debitable, to place the amount in question at the disposal of the Senior Vice President to enable him to remit it to the Court in satisfaction of the decree.

Amount as per notice in Execution case No. 528/1914-15 (O.S.35 of 1910-11) on the file of the Sub Judge.....	₹ 5847--8--1
Deduct amount of the Land Acquisition Officer's award already paid.....	₹ 3397-12--0
Balance.	₹ 2449-12--1

X This amount includes the costs of execution.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Honorary President.

Compensation for land acquired for Dewan Bahadur Raghunath Rao's Park - in at Bangalore.

G. R. S. 26]

File No. Mub

Serial No. 73-13-22

Diary No. of letter replied to 4921

No. 2223

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Muz)

the *191* .

To

The Hony. President, Municipal Council, Bangalore City.

Date of issue 13. 4. 1915

Signed by W.M.S. Ramachandra Rao

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 237 of 2.2.15 requesting that the Munzai Deptt may be asked to place at the disposal of the Senior Vice President of the Bangalore City Municipality the sum of Rs 2449-12-1 being the additional amount of compensation, which according to the decree of

over.

SUBJECT.

41

the Court of the Subordinate Judge, Bangalore, is due to the owners of the land which was acquired and granted to the late Hon'ble Dewan Bahadur Raghunatha Rao for the construction of a chaltram Dam directed to be opened that the Court may be moved to stay execution of the decree ~~until~~ the title ~~final disposal of the~~ appeal ~~preferred~~ is finally disposed of ~~by the Land Requisition Office~~.

as the Land acquisition has appealed against the decree ~~of the Lower Court~~

Thanked

6.4.15

For Secretary

6/4/15

17.3.15

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPE—25,000—4-3 1½

57
13/3
1915-16

From The Honorary President
Municipal Council
Bangalore city

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue Department
Bangalore

Dated 3rd } March 1915
Despatched 9th }

Received

General No. 240.
No.

Enclosure No. one bill

Requesting that orders may be issued to the Municipal Superintendent to place at the disposal of the Senior Vice President, Bangalore city Municipality, the amount of the bill Viz 3078-5-5 herewith submitted to satisfy the decree passed in O.S. no 35 of 1910-11 in the matter of the acquisition of certain lands granted to Dewan Bahadur Raghunathdas for construction of a chattram

GPB—50,000—26-9-12

43 D 3311

No.

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

Bangalore City, dated...3rd...March.....191 6.

Enclo.

DIARY No. 1531

10/3/1916
SECTION Jmuz
471-14
503-15
Item No. 9

From

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K. P. PUTTANNA CHETTY, ESQ.,
Honorary President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~General &~~ Revenue Departments, Bangalore.

SIR,

Jmuz 2
471-1

I have the honour to forward herewith my letter No.2282/11,
~~22~~ 8 dated 17th April 1915, requesting that the Subordinate Judge
be moved to stay the execution of the decree in O.S. No.35 of
1914-15, till the suit filed by the Municipal Council Office
is finally disposed of, in the matter of acquisition of certain
lands required for the Lake Improvement Scheme for the
construction of Chamarajpet, I have the honour to state that the
said appeal was posted for hearing on the 7th instant, and the parti
[illegible] the execution of
the decree.

[illegible] the Court, from the [illegible] could not
[illegible] the Judge, I believe,
in the Judicial Department, is now
[illegible] and as the Judge
[illegible] to which I belong, I am the only
[illegible] the disposal of cases in the Presidency Town
[illegible] the Court in execution of the

G1 B—2 000—20-5-1.

DIARY No. 1570

15 16
ONW2
~~503~~ 471-16
~~2 60~~

1915-16

From The Honorary President
Municipal Council
Bangalore city.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue Department
Bangalore

Dated 16th } March 1916.
Despatched 18 }

Received

General No. 258
No.

Enclosure No. one

Requesting that the Mouzrai Department may be instructed to pay Rs 1-1-3 claimed by Government Advocate in addition to the amount already claimed in this Office letter no 240 of 9.3.16

46

B. M. 109]

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
BANGALORE CITY, DATED....16-3-....191 6.

FROM

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K. P. PUTTANNA CHETTY, ESQ.,
Honorary President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~Executive~~ *Revenue Departments,*
Bangalore.

SIR,

In continuation of this Office letter No. 240 dated 9th Marc
I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of the letter No. 283
6th March 1916 received from the Government Advocate claiming Rs.
being the cost incurred in obtaining copy of decree in R.A. No. 34
377 of 1913-14 on the file of the Chief Court, Bangalore, and to r
quest that the Muzrai Department may kindly be instructed to pay t
sum of Rs. 1-1-3 in addition to the amount already claimed in this
Office letter quoted above.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
For Honorary President.

Docket No. 283 dated 6th March 1916 from the Gover ment Advocate in Mysore, Bangalore to the Vice-President and Land Acquisition Officer, City Municipality, Bangalore.

"Has the honor to invite reference to this Office No. 254 dated 3-2-1916 and request that the sum of Re. 1-1-3 being the cost incurred in obtaining copy of decree in R.A. Nos. 342 and 377 of 1913-14 on the file of the Chief Court, may be remitted to this Office at an early date."

C O P Y "

For President.

v 2917

G.R.S. 26]

SUBJECT Returns the bill for Rs 3078-5-5 being the balance of award made by the Ch Court for the land acquired by Govt for Dewan Bahadur Raghunatha Rao's chaltram at Bangalore — for correction

Section VII

File No. 471-14

Serial No. 11

General and Revenue Secretariat

(muz)

the 191

No. 364. Encl.

Date of issue 31. 7. 1916.

Signed by Narasimhaiengar

To

The Honorary President,

Munl Council, Bangalore city

Sir,

With reference to ~~the~~ correspondence ending with your letter no. 258 of 18th March 1916 forwarding a bill for Rs 3078-5-5 ~~being the balance of the~~ on account of the balance award ~~made~~ passed by the Chief Court for the land acquired by Govt for the construction of a chaltram by the late Dewan

over

D 9

Bahadur Raghunatha Rao, I
am directed to return the
bill for necessary correction
as it includes an item for
Govt Advocate's
fees which should be separately
sanctioned on receipt of a
duly certified bill therefor from the Govt. concerned. I am
also to request that a copy
of the Chief Court's decree,
which is stated to have
been obtained, may also be
forwarded along with the
corrected bill.

I have etc
Sd
28.7.16
For Secretary
Genl Deptt.

am
27/7/16

Please return the
revised bill in
the same with 1-1-3
the cert. of obtaining
copy of the decree
to be sent up for sanction

The copy of the
order which cert.
a copy of the plaint
if available may
be forwarded for up
and return

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—14 2 14

GPB-2 000-20-5 15

DIARY NO. 193

19 16-17
SECTION Revenue
FILE NO. 471-14
SERIAL NO. R

From The Honorary President
Municipal Council
Bangalore city

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue Department
Bangalore

Dated 20th
Despatched 21st } July 1916

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Requesting that orders may be issued to the Mysore Department to place the amount of Rs 3076-5-5 at the disposal of the Vice President Bangalore city as already requested in this office letter no 240 dated 9th March 1916

51

B. M. 109]

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
BANGALORE CITY, DATED.....20-7-.1916

FROM

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K. P. PUTTANNA CHETTY, ESQ.,
Honorary President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~Revenue~~ *Revenue Departments,*
Bangalore.

SIR,

In inviting ...his reference to their Office letter No. 240 9th March 1916, submitting ... bill for Rs.3078-5-6, being the enh ...nd made by the Chief Court in connection with the requisitior certain land granted to the late Dewan Bahadur Raghunatha Rao, construction of a Chatram, for favour of issuing early orders t Muzrai Department for placing the amount at the disposal of the President to enable him to remit the same to the Court, I have honor to state request that as the claimants have applied for the execu the decree, orders may kindly be issued to the Muzrai Departmen a view to expedite the adjustment of the claim at a very early

I have the honor to
Sir,
Your most obedient ser

Honorary President

GEN. & REV. 12 AUG 1916

GPB—2 000—20 6 13

DIARY No. 328
1916-17
FILE 471-14
12

From The Honorary President
Municipal Council
Bangalore city

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General Department
Bangalore

Dated 9 hu
Despatched 11 hu
} August 1916

Received

General No. 50
No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting a revised bill for Rs 2900-10-5 on account of the enhanced award passed by the Chief Court in Connection with the lands acquired for the Construction of the Chatram by the late Dewan Bahadur Raghunatha Rao

B. M. 109]

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
BANGALORE CITY, DATED.....9-8-1916.

FROM

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K. P. PUTTANNA CHETTY, ESQ.,
Honorary President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General ~~and~~ Departments,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. 364/Muz: 471-14-11 dated 31 July 1916, calling for a revised bill on account of the enhanced a passed by the Chief Court in connection with the lands acquired fo the construction of a Chattram by the late Dewan Bahadur Raghunat Rao, excluding the amount of fees due to the Government Advocate, including the sum of Rs. 1-1-3, being the cost of obtaining a copy the decree, I have the honor to forward herewith a revised bill fo Rs. 2,900-10-5 as per instructions given in the above, for favour of early payment, as the claimants in the said case have again applied for the execution of the decree in Ex. No. 109 of 1916-17, which st posted to the 18th of this month.

2. The certified bill for Rs. 178-12-3, being the fees payab to the Government Advocate for conducting the appeal suits in the a case in the Chief Court of Bangalore has been separately forwarded this day for sanction of Government.

3. Copies of the Judgment and Decree are herewith forwarded as called for.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Honorary President.

C.R.G.

DIARY No. 327
19 Nov 17
Secret Mesz
F. 471-14
13

GIB–2 000–20 8 15

SHP

1916–17

From The Honorary Presidents
Municipal Council
Bangalore city

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General Department.

Dated 9th
Despatched 11th } August 1916.
Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No. one bill

Submitting for Sanction
a bill for Rs 178–12–3 being
the fees payable to the
Government advocate for
Conducting the Official suits
in R.A. Nos 342 & 377 of
1913–14 on the file of the
Chief Court

B. M. 109]

56

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,
MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
BANGALORE CITY, DATED.....9-8-1916

FROM

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA
K. P. PUTTANNA CHETTY, ESQ.,
Honorary President, Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore.

SIR,

As per instructions contained in your letter No. 364/Muz: 471- dated 31st July 1916, I have the honor to forward herewith a certif bill for Rs. 178-12-3, duly countersigned by the Chief Judge, being fees payable to the Government Advocate, for conducting the officia suits in R.A. Nos. 342 and 377 of 1913-14 on the file of the Chief Court and to request that sanction of Government may kindly be obta to the Bill.

2. As the said appeal cases relate to the acquisition of land granted to the late Dewan Bahadur Raghunatha Rao, for the construct of a Chattram, the fees of the Government Advocate have to be debit to the funds of the Muzrai Department.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K P Puttanna

Honorary President.

C.R.G.

G.R.S. 28]

Diary No. of letter replied to 193

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Muz)

the 191

Date of issue

Signed by

Sanctions a bill for Rs 178-12-3 on account of fees payable to the Govt. Advocate for appearing in the appeal case on the file of the Chief Court relating to the acquisition of land for construction of a chattram by Dewan Bahadur R. Raghunatha Rao

File No.

Serial No.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

READ—

G.O. No. 3003-4/Muz 592-14-2 of 28-6-15 sanctioning the reappropriation from the Contingent allotment of the Muzrai Supdt's office for 1915-16 of a sum of Rs 110-12-0 on account of fees sanctioned to be paid to the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, for having conducted the defence in the Sub-Judge's Court

ORDER THEREON No.

over.

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—4-12-12

5 y

in the case relating to the acquisition of land for the construction of a chattram by the late Dewan Bahadur R. Raghunatha Rao

2) Correspondence ending with letter No. 49 & 11 August 1916 from the Hony. President, City Munl. Council, Bangalore, submitting for sanction a certified bill for Rs 178-12-3 on account of fees payable to the Govt. Advocate for ~~appea~~ appearing conducting ~~the official~~ ~~said~~ in R. A. Nos 342 & 377 of 1913-14 ~~relating to the above case~~ on the file of the Chief Court and befor[illegible] the acquisition Mr Award.

P. W. D

Order thereon:- 772-3/2 & 471-14-1 & dt 25-9-16

Encl-1.

The bill for Rs 178-12-3 is sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptr. for audit, the charge being debited to the State Grant for the current year for construction and repair of Muzrai Institutions.

19-8-16
For Secretary
Genl Deptt.

To. The President, City Mu
Council, Bangalore
" Comptr. to Govt. (
the bill

G.R.S 28]

Diary No. of letter replied to 328

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Mug)

the 191

Date of issue

Signed by

Sanctions a bill for Rs 2900-10-5 on account of additional award made by the Ch: Court to the property acquired for the construction of Dewan Bahadur R. Raghunatha Rao's chattram

File No.

Serial No.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

READ—

Govt. Progs. No. 3133-4/Mug 64-10-5 & 28.6.12 approving of the action of the Comptroller in debiting to the State Grant for the year 1910-11 of the amount of Rs. 3397-12-0 sanctioned as an advance for the purchase of the property known as Chikkanna's garden, to the south of the City Branch of the Theosophical Society, Bangalore, for the construction of a

over

ORDER THEREON.—NO.

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—4-12-12

60

Chalkram by the Hon'ble Dewan Bahadur R. Raghunatha Rao -

2) Correspondence ending with letter no. 50 & 11th August 1916 from the Hony President, Munl Council, Bangalore City, submitting for sanction a ~~revised~~ bill for Rs 2900-10-5 on account of the ~~enhanced award passed~~ additional compensation, interest and court-costs by the Chief Court for the above property, the total amount awarded, inclusive of court costs, being Rs 6298-6-5.

P. W. D

payable 15th once according 15th after chief court

~~the award~~

Order thereon :- 774-5 / M7-671-14-15 dated 25.9.16 Empld

The bill for Rs 2900-10-5 is sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptr for audit, the amount being met from the State Grant for 1916-17 for construction and repairs of Muzrai Institutions -

19.8.16
for Secretary
Genl Deptt.

To

The Hony President, City Munl Council, Bangalore, rety enclos. Comptr to Govt with the bill

RD 17/8